



## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

|   | *            |                      |                         |                  |
|---|--------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 09/548,313  | 04/12/2000   | Hidehiko Kira        | 000452                  | 6169             |
| 23850   | 7590 10/21/2 | 02                   |                         |                  |
| ARMSTRONG, WESTERMAN & HATTORI, LLP<br>1725 K STREET, NW.<br>SUITE 1000 |              |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
|   |              |                      | RENNER, CRAIG A         |                  |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20006  |              |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |              |                      | 2652                    | -                |
|   |              |                      | DATE MAILED: 10/21/2002 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

84

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/548,313

Applicant(s)

Kira et al.

Examiner

Craig A. Renner

Art Unit 2652



|   | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Period fo   | • •   |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| - Extensions of time may be evailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>If NO pe</li><li>Failure t</li><li>Any rep</li></ul>  | eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the<br>eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply an<br>to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the<br>aly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the<br>patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1)💢   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Jul 200  | 02   |  |  |  |
| 2a) □   | This action is FINAL. 2b)   ☐ This action   | on is non-final.   |  |  |  |
|   | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.  |  |  |  |  |
| Dispositi   | ion of Claims   | ·  |  |  |  |
| 4) 💢  | Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-33</u>  | is/are pending in the application.   |  |  |  |
| 4   | a) Of the above, claim(s) 10-29   | is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |  |  |
| 5) 🗌  | Claim(s)  | is/are allowed.  |  |  |  |
| 6) 🗆  | Claim(s)  | is/are rejected.   |  |  |  |
| 7) 🗆  | Claim(s)  | is/are objected to.  |  |  |  |
| 8) 💢  | Claims <u>1-6, 8, 9, and 30-33</u>  | are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |  |  |  |
|   | ion Papers  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 11)   | The proposed drawing correction filed on  | is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |   |  |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some* c) None of:   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   | $\mathbb{R}. \ \square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have   | e been received in Application No  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).                                 |   |  |  |  |  |
| *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  |   |  |  |  |  |
| a) U The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121             |   |  |  |  |  |
| 15)   Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  |   |  |  |  |  |
| _   |   | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  |  |  |  |
| 2) Noti   | ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |
| 3) Info   | rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).   | 6) Other:  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/548,313 Page 2

Art Unit: 2652

1. Claims 14-29 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.142(b) as being drawn to one or more non-elected inventions/species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 7, filed 4 February 2002.

- 2. Claims 10-13 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.142(b) as being drawn to one or more non-elected inventions/species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 9, filed 14 March 2002.
- 3. Upon further consideration and in light of applicant's amendments/remarks, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I - FIG. 2D.

Species II - FIG. 7B.

Species III - FIG. 25.

Species IV - FIG. 27.

Species V - FIG. 29.

Species VI - FIG. 31.

Species VII - FIG. 33.

Species VIII - FIG. 34(A-B)

Species IX - FIG. 36B.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims appear to be generic.

Application/Control Number: 09/548,313

Art Unit: 2652

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 3

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) of the other invention.

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 C.F.R. § 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/548,313

Art Unit: 2652

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 C.F.R.

§ 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(i).

6. Any inquiry concerning the above referenced application should be directed to the

examiner, Craig A. Renner, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0559, and whose facsimile

number is (703) 872-9314. The examiner can normally be reached Tuesday through Friday from

7:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. E.S.T.

Craig A. Renner Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2652

CAR

October 19, 2002

Page 4